



1 16 December 2021  
2 EMA/CHMP/559890/2021  
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

4 **Ursodeoxycholic acid capsule 250 mg, film-coated tablet**  
5 **150 mg, 300 mg, 450 mg, 500 mg, 600 mg and**  
6 **suspension 50 mg/ml (250 mg/5 ml) product-specific**  
7 **bioequivalence guidance**

8

Draft Agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party (PKWP)	7 October 2021
Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation	16 December 2021
Start of public consultation	17 December 2021
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	31 March 2022
Agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party	
Adopted by CHMP	
Date for coming into effect	

9  
10  
11

Comments should be provided using this [template](#). The completed comments form should be sent to [PKWPsecretariat@ema.europa.eu](mailto:PKWPsecretariat@ema.europa.eu)

12  
13

<b>Keywords</b>	<b><i>Bioequivalence, generics, ursodeoxycholic acid</i></b>
-----------------	--

14





	<b>Number of studies:</b> one
<b>Analyte</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>parent</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>metabolite</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>both</b> <b>Background:</b> Parent (free = unconjugated UDCA) is considered the most sensitive to detect differences in formulation.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>plasma/serum</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>blood</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>urine</b>
	<b>Enantioselective analytical method:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>yes</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>no</b>
	<b>Recommendations regarding method for baseline adjustment:</b> 24 hours pre-dose baseline correction (same sampling scheme as on dosing day including meals, with individual matched sampling time-points).
<b>Bioequivalence assessment</b>	<b>Main pharmacokinetic variables:</b> baseline corrected AUC <sub>0-t</sub> and C <sub>max</sub>
	<b>Background/justification:</b> C <sub>max</sub> should be pre-defined as highest peak within 0–12 h post-dose.
	<b>90% confidence interval:</b> 80.00–125.00%

23 \* As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible  
24 to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C<sub>max</sub>. If high  
25 intraindividual variability (CV<sub>intra</sub> > 30%) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

26 \*\* This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether in vivo studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on  
27 the contrary (BCS Class I and III), the Applicant may choose between two options: in vivo approach or in vitro approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In  
28 this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data  
29 (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug  
30 substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85% within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or  
31 reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).