
Development of Local Anesthetic Drug Products With Prolonged Duration of Effect Guidance for Industry

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 90 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document, contact Swati Patwardhan at 301-796-4085.

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**March 2023
Clinical/Medical**

Development of Local Anesthetic Drug Products With Prolonged Duration of Effect Guidance for Industry

Additional copies are available from:

*Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002*

*Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353; Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov
<https://www.fda.gov/drugs/guidance-compliance-regulatory-information/guidances-drugs>*

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**March 2023
Clinical/Medical**

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
III.	DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS	2
A.	General Clinical Pharmacology Considerations	4
B.	Human Factors Engineering Evaluation	6
C.	Trial Design	7
D.	Clinical Evaluation — Efficacy	8
1.	<i>Pharmacodynamic Profile</i>	8
2.	<i>Indications</i>	9
a.	Incisional infiltration/instillation	9
b.	Peripheral nerve block (e.g., interscalene nerve block).....	9
c.	Fascial plane block (e.g., transverse abdominis plane block).....	9
d.	Neuraxial block (e.g., spinal or epidural block)	10
3.	<i>Fixed-Combination Drug Product</i>	10
4.	<i>Combination Product</i>	10
5.	<i>Efficacy Endpoints and Analyses</i>	11
E.	Clinical Evaluation — Safety	12
IV.	CONSIDERATIONS FOR LABELING CLAIMS	13
A.	Superiority Claims	13
B.	Novel Endpoints	13

1 **Development of Local Anesthetic Drug Products**
2 **With Prolonged Duration of Effect**
3 **Guidance for Industry¹**
4
5

6
7 This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug
8 Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not
9 binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the
10 applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible
11 for this guidance as listed on the title page.
12

13
14
15 **I. INTRODUCTION**
16

17 The purpose of this guidance is to assist sponsors that are developing local anesthetic drug
18 products to produce postoperative analgesia for a prolonged duration, for which submission of a
19 new drug application (NDA) through the pathway described in section 505(b) of the Federal
20 Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) is appropriate. This guidance is not applicable to
21 applications that meet criteria for submission under section 505(j) of the FD&C Act, petitioned
22 abbreviated new drug applications under section 505(j)(2)(C) of the FD&C Act, or applications
23 submitted under section 351 of the Public Health Service Act.²
24

25 For assistance with specific local anesthetic drug product development programs, sponsors
26 should contact the Division of Anesthesiology, Addiction Medicine, and Pain Medicine (the
27 Division) in the Office of New Drugs in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research.³
28

29 In general, FDA’s guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities.
30 Instead, guidances describe the Agency’s current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only
31 as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of
32 the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but
33 not required.
34
35

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Division of Anesthesiology, Addiction Medicine, and Pain Medicine in the Office of New Drugs in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research at the Food and Drug Administration.

² See the guidance for industry *Determining Whether to Submit an ANDA or a 505(b)(2) Application* (May 2019). We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents>.

³ For the purposes of this guidance, the term *sponsor* will be used to refer to both sponsors of investigational new drug applications and applicants of NDAs.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

36 **II. BACKGROUND**

37
38 Local anesthetic drug products may be used to either reduce painful sensations (i.e., provide
39 analgesia) or to completely eliminate sensation (i.e., provide anesthesia). The administration of
40 these drug products has been used to reduce or eliminate the pain associated with many surgical
41 procedures, such as inguinal hernia repair or total knee arthroplasty.

42
43 Local anesthetic drug products are administered in a number of ways, including subcutaneous
44 injection, wound instillation or smear, perineural infiltration (e.g., peripheral nerve block),
45 fascial plane blocks (i.e., transverse abdominis plane block), or via the neuraxial administration
46 (i.e., epidural, intrathecal). Although there may be other modes of administration (e.g., deep
47 sympathetic block) utilized for chronic pain etiologies, this guidance only focuses on methods of
48 administration targeted to provide postoperative analgesia.

49
50 Local anesthetic drug products include those that have a single active ingredient or multiple
51 active ingredients, such as a local anesthetic in combination with a vasoconstrictor (e.g.,
52 epinephrine). Additionally, local anesthetic drug products may be immediate-release products or
53 modified-release products (e.g., extended-release injectable suspension). In addition, local
54 anesthetic drug products can be administered using various types of devices. Although different
55 local anesthetic drug products have different pharmacokinetic (PK) profiles, in general their
56 effects last a few hours. However, the increasing interest in reducing or eliminating the use of
57 opioid analgesic drug products is leading to development of dosage forms of local anesthetic
58 drug products that prolong the duration of action of the drug product to a period of days rather
59 than hours.

60
61 In addition, some local anesthetic drug product dosage forms may be drug-device combination
62 products as defined under 21 CFR 3.2(e).⁴ For example, the local anesthetic may be embedded
63 or otherwise contained in an implant that controls the rate of drug release/delivery to the
64 surrounding tissues. In this context the anesthetic drug would be the drug constituent part and
65 the implant would be the device constituent part of the combination product.^{5, 6} Other
66 combination product examples include a local anesthetic drug-prefilled syringe or a copackage of
67 a local anesthetic drug in a vial and a software-controlled delivery device.

68
69

70 **III. DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS**

71
72 The development program of a local anesthetic drug product with prolonged duration of effect
73 will depend, in part, on the intended use, such as anesthesia, analgesia, or both, and whether the
74 drug substance has already been approved in a drug product or if it is a new drug substance. This

⁴ For general information on combination products, see the Combination Products: Guidance and Regulatory Information web page at <http://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information>.

⁵ See 21 CFR 4.2 for the definition of *constituent part*. For purposes of this guidance, the terms *device* and *device constituent part* are used interchangeably.

⁶ For purposes of this guidance, the terms *drug*, *drug product*, and *drug constituent part* are interchangeable. Also, the term *product* applies to the to-be-marketed drug product or combination product.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

75 guidance focuses on development programs for local anesthetic drug products for the indication
76 of postoperative analgesia.

77
78 For a new dosage form or a new formulation of a previously approved dosage form of an
79 approved local anesthetic drug product, the NDA can be submitted as a stand-alone application
80 (i.e., 505(b)(1)) or pursuant to section 505(b)(2) of the FD&C Act.⁷ For drug products submitted
81 as stand-alone applications, sponsors should plan to provide data and/or conduct studies that
82 establish the efficacy and safety of the drug product as a local anesthetic drug product, in
83 addition to supporting the safety and efficacy of the formulation. For drug products submitted
84 under section 505(b)(2), the number and type of studies necessary to support approval of the
85 drug product will depend on the proposed indication, proposed dosage and administration, the
86 known safety and efficacy profile of the previously approved local anesthetic drug product (i.e.,
87 listed drug), drug product composition, and other characteristics of the proposed drug product
88 (e.g., in vitro drug release profile, delivery device, formulation) relative to the listed drug.⁸

89
90 Sponsors should discuss their development plans with the Division early in development,
91 including, but not limited to, planned comparative bioavailability studies and efficacy and safety
92 studies. Efficacy and safety studies will be necessary to support drug products that are the first
93 modified-release formulation for any existing immediate-release local anesthetic drug product or
94 that have significant differences (e.g., in PK profile) from approved drug products.

95
96 To ensure that the necessary efficacy and/or safety studies are adequately designed and include
97 the relevant information specific to the proposed local anesthetic drug product, the development
98 program for the local anesthetic drug product should proceed in a sequential fashion, as follows:
99

- 100 • Sponsors should conduct in vitro studies to characterize drug release profile. Based on
101 the proposed formulation, the type and design of these studies should be discussed with
102 the Division early on in the development program.
- 103
104 • Sponsors should conduct initial PK studies to identify doses and dosing regimens that
105 deliver target PK and pharmacodynamic (PD) profiles during the desired treatment

⁷ Although applications submitted as stand-alone applications are not the focus of this guidance, a sponsor can submit an NDA for an extended-release local anesthetic drug product as a stand-alone application when the application contains full reports of investigations of safety and effectiveness that were conducted by or for the applicant or for which the applicant has a right of reference. See section 505(b)(1) of the FD&C Act. The 505(b)(2) pathway is appropriate for applications that contain full reports of investigations of safety and effectiveness, where at least some of the information required for approval is derived from studies that were not conducted by or for the applicant and for which the applicant has not obtained a right of reference. Such investigations or information can include, for example, FDA's finding of safety and/or efficacy for a listed drug or published literature. NDAs submitted through the 505(b)(2) pathway may be subject to patent certification requirements and periods of exclusivity that could affect approval. See generally section 505(b)(2) of the FD&C Act; see also the draft guidance for industry *Applications Covered by Section 505(b)(2)* (October 1999). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents>.

⁸ Under these abbreviated approval pathways, generally an applicant can rely on FDA's finding of safety and effectiveness for a drug product approved under section 505(c) of the FD&C Act. For brevity, the remainder of this guidance refers to an approved drug product generally without reference to the legal pathway for approval.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

106 period, including PK parameters described in section III.A., General Clinical
107 Pharmacology Considerations.

108
109 • Sponsors should conduct safety and efficacy studies designed to support the proposed
110 indication. The number, type, and design of these studies should be discussed with the
111 Division and will be based on multiple considerations, including if the proposed local
112 anesthetic drug product:

113
114 — Is the first modified-release formulation for an existing immediate-release local
115 anesthetic drug product (i.e., has no previously approved modified-release
116 formulation) and is being compared to the immediate-release formulation of the
117 proposed local anesthetic drug product.

118
119 — Has significant differences compared to the listed drug, namely the following:

120
121 ■ The in vitro data, such as drug release profile, for the proposed local anesthetic
122 drug product differs significantly from the listed drug.

123
124 ■ The proposed local anesthetic drug product has novel features, such as the
125 following:

126
127 i. Dosing, including timing interval of repeated doses, dose range, route of
128 administration, etc., relative to the listed drug.

129
130 ii. A novel device constituent part or excipient that facilitates drug delivery. In
131 this case, if an independent efficacy trial is conducted, the safety data from
132 this trial may satisfy part or all of the safety evaluation requirements.

133 134 **A. General Clinical Pharmacology Considerations**

135
136 For the purposes of this guidance, the term *pharmacokinetics* refers to systemic drug exposure,
137 unless noted otherwise. When characterizing the PK profile of a local anesthetic drug product,
138 sponsors should consider and/or address the following concepts:

139
140 • Due to the method(s) of administration of these products, it may be challenging to
141 measure local drug concentrations and characterize PK profile for local drug exposure. If
142 the sponsor plans to collect PK samples for local drug exposure and characterize the PK
143 profile, the sponsor should propose a reliable methodology or approach to accurately
144 measure local drug concentrations and discuss the proposal with the Division early in
145 development.

146
147 • The PK profile may differ at various sites of administration and may depend on total
148 dose, method of administration, and the vascularity of the anatomical site.

149
150 • The sponsor should characterize the PK profile for every anatomic site/route(s) of
151 administration that the sponsor proposes to include in drug product labeling. If the

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

152 sponsor is seeking a broad postsurgical indication for administration of the proposed drug
153 product at any anatomical surgical site, the sponsor should demonstrate the predictability
154 of the PK profile for different anatomic sites and routes of administration or provide
155 justification on how data can be extrapolated to additional, nonstudied, sites.

- 156
157 • The sponsor should use maximum systemic exposure at the highest dose, via the
158 proposed route of administration to assess safety; however, systemic exposure does not
159 typically correlate with efficacy for local anesthetic drug products.
- 160
161 • The sponsor should consider the time for complete clearance of the drug product after last
162 administration to delineate the duration of systemic safety monitoring and determine the
163 appropriate timing for additional dose(s) of local anesthetic drug products.

164
165 For any proposed local anesthetic drug product, the sponsor should obtain the following key PK
166 parameters:

- 167
168 • The shape of the PK profile
- 169 • The time to reach maximum plasma concentration (T_{max})
- 170 • The maximum plasma concentration (C_{max})
- 171 • The extent of systemic exposure (AUC)
- 172 • The minimum (trough) plasma concentration (C_{trough})
- 173 • Accumulation after multiple doses, if applicable
- 174 • Estimated time for complete clearance of the drug product after last administration

175
176 Although demonstrating there are no clinically significant differences in the pharmacokinetics of
177 systemic drug exposure, including AUC and C_{max} , compared to another approved drug product
178 with prolonged duration of effect could potentially support approval, additional efficacy and
179 safety studies may be necessary for the following reasons:

- 180
181 • Product composition will likely vary between different local anesthetic drug products,
182 which may affect local safety as well as local efficacy of the drug product.
- 183
184 • In vitro release characteristics may vary based on drug product formulation, which may
185 affect local drug exposure, even if there are no clinically significant differences in
186 systemic drug absorption.
- 187
188 • The rate of systemic absorption of local anesthetic drug products may also vary based on
189 the total dose of drug product administered, the route of administration, and the
190 vascularity of the administration site. Therefore, comparable AUC and C_{max} does not
191 necessarily mean that the shape of the PK profiles of the two drug products are
192 comparable.
- 193
194 • Comparable levels of systemic exposure (i.e., systemic pharmacokinetics) between two
195 different drug products may not reflect comparable local drug concentration at the same
196 site of administration.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 198 • Because local drug concentrations do not necessarily correlate with systemic exposure, it
199 is unlikely that comparable local efficacy can be demonstrated.

200
201 If a sponsor decides to conduct only relative bioavailability PK studies with the proposed local
202 anesthetic drug product and to rely on the Agency’s findings of safety and/or efficacy for the
203 listed drug, the following data may be necessary:

- 204
205 • Comparative in vitro data, such as drug product composition, formulation characteristics
206 (e.g., liposome characteristics), in vitro release characteristics, etc. The sponsor may use
207 available in vitro data to inform in vivo PK characteristics of the drug product.
208
209 • Comparative bioavailability PK studies that demonstrate that local and systemic exposure
210 to the proposed local anesthetic drug product is similar to that of the listed drug after
211 single dose administration and following multiple doses of the same drug product.
212
213 • Data to assess the impact of the differences in the proposed formulation, in vitro
214 characteristics, dosing and administration, etc., on local drug exposure, and
215 consequentially local safety and local efficacy.
216

217 If clinical studies are not needed to support efficacy and safety (local and systemic safety) of the
218 proposed local anesthetic drug product, it will be necessary for the sponsor to submit data to
219 characterize local drug exposure in addition to systemic drug exposure.⁹ Because of the unique
220 product-specific characteristics of local anesthetic drug products with prolonged duration of
221 effect, if a sponsor decides to conduct only relative bioavailability PK studies to support its local
222 anesthetic drug product, or is considering exploring a modeling approach with available in vitro
223 and in vivo data, the sponsor should discuss the plans with the Division early in the drug product
224 development program.

B. Human Factors Engineering Evaluation

225
226 Sponsors should use human-factors engineering (HFE) processes throughout the development of
227 a local anesthetic drug product. The application of HFE processes during drug product
228 development maximizes the likelihood that the product user interface, which includes all points
229 of interaction between the drug product and the users (e.g., delivery device, packaging labeling,
230 including labels), allows for safe and effective use by the intended users for intended uses and
231
232

⁹ As stated in section III.A., General Clinical Pharmacology Considerations, if the sponsor plans to collect PK samples for local drug exposure and characterize its PK profile, the sponsor should propose a reliable methodology or approach to accurately measure local drug concentrations and discuss the proposal with the Division early in development.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

233 use environments. Sponsors should refer to relevant FDA guidance documents that provide
234 information on human factors and product design.¹⁰

235

C. Trial Design

237

238 When a sponsor needs to conduct efficacy trial(s), the sponsor should consider the following:

239

240 • The sponsor should design trials to demonstrate that the drug product is effective when
241 used in each of the anatomical sites and surgical procedures for which an indication is
242 sought. Alternatively, the sponsor should provide justification for the extrapolation
243 between anatomical sites and methods of administration.

244

245 • The sponsor should select the primary outcome measure based on the proposed indication
246 and the population being evaluated. If several validated instruments are available to
247 assess the outcome, the choice of instrument is at the discretion of the sponsor; however,
248 the sponsor should provide a rationale for the selection.

249

250 — The Agency distinguishes between indications for local anesthesia and local
251 analgesia. *Local anesthesia* is defined as the local loss of all sensation; *local*
252 *analgesia* is defined as the local reduction or elimination of pain. Therefore,
253 instruments assessing level of pain will only support an analgesic indication.
254 Evaluation of the drug product's effects on the other sensory (e.g., temperature and
255 pressure) and motor parameters will also be necessary in addition to the evaluation
256 for pain sensation to adequately evaluate the safety profile of the drug product if an
257 indication for local anesthesia is sought.

258

259 • The sponsor should evaluate the drug in a patient population that reflects the
260 demographics of the patient population to whom the drug product will ultimately be
261 administered.

262

263 • The sponsor should conduct blinded and randomized controlled trials to evaluate the
264 doses, regimens, and methods of administration identified and evaluated in phases 1 and
265 2 of the drug product development program.

266

267 • To select the optimal dosing regimen for the indication, the Agency recommends that the
268 sponsor conduct a dose ranging study or studies before the phase 3 clinical trial(s) or
269 evaluate several doses in in an adequate and well-controlled phase 3 trial. Sponsors
270 should evaluate total dose (e.g., mg), volume, and concentration of the product. In

¹⁰ Guidances on this topic include, but are not limited to, the guidance for industry *Safety Considerations for Product Design to Minimize Medication Errors* (April 2016), the guidance for industry and FDA staff *Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices* (February 2016), the guidance for industry *Safety Considerations for Container Labels and Carton Labeling Design to Minimize Medication Errors* (May 2022), and the draft guidances for industry and FDA staff *Contents of a Complete Submission for Threshold Analyses and Human Factors Submissions to Drug and Biologic Applications* (September 2018) and *Human Factors Studies and Related Clinical Study Considerations in Combination Product Design and Development* (February 2016). When final, these guidances will represent the FDA's current thinking on these topics.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

271 addition, dose selection for local anesthetic drug product studies should take into
272 consideration the pharmacologic properties of the drug product, target patient population,
273 and probable concomitant medications.

274
275 • Controls should include an immediate-release or modified-release local anesthetic drug
276 product, or both if appropriate, which are already approved for the indication under study.
277 In addition, one of the active comparators should reflect the current standard of care.
278 Active-controlled trials can employ either superiority or noninferiority designs. Sponsors
279 should consult the Division regarding different aspects of trial design, including the
280 noninferiority margin.

281
282 — In certain instances, the drug product could also be compared to itself in trials
283 designed to evaluate the efficacy of differing concentrations, doses, or dosing
284 regimens. In such trials, a significant difference (from both statistical and clinical
285 perspectives) in efficacy between two treatment arms could serve as evidence of
286 efficacy for the concentration, dose, or dosing regimen that was shown to be superior
287 to another regimen evaluated.

288
289 • Sponsors should consider current clinical practice (e.g., multimodal perioperative pain
290 regimens) and incorporate these practices in both investigational drug and control arms,
291 as appropriate, to obtain data, which are clinically meaningful and relevant to health care
292 providers.

293
294 • Trials should include an adequate subject follow-up period after drug product
295 administration and assessments at appropriate time intervals to evaluate the following:

296
297 — Systemic and local toxicity
298 — Clinical response, including clinically meaningful measures of benefit and function
299

D. Clinical Evaluation — Efficacy

300
301
302 Early in the development program, the sponsor should decide which formulation and method of
303 delivery will be marketed. This includes, but is not limited to, the type of device design and
304 whether it will be a generally available FDA-approved/cleared device or a device constituent part
305 of a combination product. To support approval, the sponsor should use the final to-be-marketed
306 product (including drug product formulation and its delivery device, if applicable) and the dosing
307 regimen proposed for inclusion in the drug product labeling in the PK studies and clinical trials.
308 If a product other than the final to-be-marketed product is used, the sponsor may need to conduct
309 bridging studies or provide justification to address differences in the formulations or devices.

1. Pharmacodynamic Profile

311
312
313 Ideally, sponsors are able to characterize pharmacodynamics of the drug during phase 1 and
314 phase 2 clinical trials and confirm with the findings in phase 3 trials. The sponsor should
315 characterize the onset and duration of action of the drug product for the proposed indication. If
316 the sponsor seeks more than one indication and the indications vary significantly in terms of the

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

317 route(s) of administration, the length of time for which anesthesia or analgesia is required, or the
318 level of required anesthesia or analgesia (e.g., intraoperative versus postoperative anesthesia or
319 analgesia), the sponsor should characterize the onset and duration of action of the drug product
320 for each proposed indication. This information should be the basis for determining the time to
321 redosing, if redosing is appropriate.

322
323 In addition, for drug products that may be used on a variety of tissue types (e.g., epidermis,
324 dermis, muscle, perineural, bone) and/or in anatomic compartments, which differ in size and
325 vascularity (e.g., posterior capsule of the knee versus abdominal cavity versus thoracic cavity),
326 the evaluations should determine if those uses lead to substantial differences in the onset or
327 duration of action of the drug product. The sponsor should include sufficient data about these
328 differences in its NDA submission to adequately inform labeling and guide health care providers
329 in the appropriate use of the drug product.

330

331 2. *Indications*

332

333 In general, the indication of a local anesthetic drug product will be limited to the type of surgical
334 models or anatomical sites studied in the drug product development program.

335

336 a. *Incisional infiltration/instillation*

337

338 For a new local anesthetic drug product, if the sponsor is proposing a surgery-specific or an
339 anatomical site-specific indication, at least two adequate and well-controlled studies will be
340 necessary. For a modified-release formulation of a previously approved local anesthetic drug
341 product, if the sponsor is proposing a surgery-specific or an anatomical site-specific indication,
342 at least one adequate and well-controlled trial will be necessary. For a generalized incisional
343 infiltration/instillation indication, at the minimum, the sponsor should establish efficacy in a
344 bony compartment model, small and large soft tissue models, and a highly vascular compartment
345 model (e.g., intercostal space). However, additional models may be necessary based on the drug
346 formulation, dosing and administration, and consistency in efficacy across models demonstrated
347 in the drug product development program.

348

349 b. *Peripheral nerve block (e.g., interscalene nerve block)*

350

351 There is a large variability in the types of peripheral nerve block procedures performed for
352 surgical anesthesia and analgesia. The ability to visualize and access the target nerve, which
353 varies with the anatomy adjacent to the target nerve (including major vessels and other tissues),
354 will potentially impact the dose and/or volume of drug product necessary to achieve the desired
355 degree and duration of the block. Therefore, extrapolation from one nerve block to another is
356 difficult. Consequently, the indication will likely be limited to nerve blocks for which safety and
357 efficacy have been demonstrated in the drug product development program.

358

359 c. *Fascial plane block (e.g., transverse abdominis plane block)*

360

361 The type of anatomical tissues exposed to the local anesthetic drug product in a fascial plane
362 block (e.g., skin, subcutaneous tissues, fat, muscle) may differ significantly from incisional

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

363 infiltration/instillation (e.g., skin, subcutaneous tissues, fat only) and peripheral nerve block
364 administration (e.g., skin, subcutaneous tissues, fat, muscle, nerve sheath, large vessels).
365 Therefore, studies demonstrating both safety and efficacy in a fascial plane block model will be
366 necessary to support such an indication. If efficacy and safety of the drug product has already
367 been established for an incisional infiltration/instillation indication or peripheral nerve block
368 indication, at least one additional trial will be necessary to be considered for a fascial plane block
369 indication. Alternatively, the sponsor can provide an evidence-based rationale to support
370 extrapolation from incisional infiltration/instillation or peripheral nerve block to a fascial plane
371 block. Sponsors should consult the Division regarding extrapolation.

d. Neuraxial block (e.g., spinal or epidural block)

372
373
374
375 The development of local anesthetic drug product formulations with prolonged duration of effect
376 for neuraxial use is challenging because of safety concerns, such as the potential for prolonged
377 muscle weakness leading to increased risk of fall, the potential for cephalad spread leading to
378 respiratory insufficiency or total spinal anesthesia, and spinal cord/spinal nerve toxicity because
379 of prolonged exposure to the drug product. Therefore, sponsors should consult the Division
380 before initiating a neuraxial block drug product development program for a local anesthetic drug
381 product with prolonged duration of effect.

3. *Fixed-Combination Drug Product*

382
383
384
385 For purposes of this guidance, a fixed-combination drug product (FCDP) is one in which two or
386 more active ingredients are combined at a fixed dosage in a single dosage form. When
387 developing an FCDP, the sponsor must demonstrate that each active ingredient makes a
388 contribution to the claimed effect of the combination drug product, as defined in 21 CFR 300.50.
389 The demonstration of such contribution may require a properly designed factorial trial. In
390 addition, the benefit of the proposed combination of active ingredients must outweigh any
391 additional risk posed by the combination.^{11, 12}

4. *Combination Product*

392
393
394
395 If developing a combination product, the design of the product user interface should be assessed
396 in human factors studies, as appropriate, to demonstrate that the proposed combination product
397 user interface allows for safe and effective use in addition to addressing human factors
398 considerations (see section III.B., Human Factors Engineering Evaluation). The application
399 should address the safety and effectiveness of the to-be-marketed combination product including
400 the device constituent part. Also, combination products are subject to 21 CFR part 4 subpart A,
401 Current Good Manufacturing Practice Requirements for Combination Products.¹³ The type of
402 data to submit for the device constituent part is beyond the scope of this guidance. Developers of

¹¹ For additional information, see the guidance for industry *Codevelopment of Two or More New Investigational Drugs for Use in Combination* (June 2013).

¹² See 21 CFR 300.50.

¹³ See the guidance for industry and FDA staff *Current Good Manufacturing Practice Requirements for Combination Products* (January 2017).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

403 local anesthetic combination products with prolonged duration of effect should request early
404 development discussions with the Division.

405

406 5. *Efficacy Endpoints and Analyses*

407

408 The recommended primary efficacy endpoint for the evaluation of the postoperative analgesic
409 effect of a local anesthetic drug product is the AUC of pain scores. The clinical pain scale(s)
410 utilized to assess pain should be validated in the patient population(s) being studied. The
411 schedule of assessments should be appropriate to evaluate the clinical endpoints and reflect the
412 PK and PD profiles of the proposed local anesthetic drug product. Because the PK and PD
413 profile may vary with each drug product, it is necessary to demonstrate superiority or
414 noninferiority relative to the comparator during the entire proposed duration of effect, most
415 importantly the later time points.

416

417 Efficacy analyses should include the following:

418

- 419 • Comparison of primary endpoint(s) using the appropriate statistical methods.
- 420
- 421 • Consideration of adjustment for prognostic covariates (e.g., baseline pain) to potentially
422 improve precision of treatment effect estimate and study power if linear model
423 assumption holds.
- 424
- 425 • Prespecification of estimand of interest, including strategy for handling rescue
426 medication use.
- 427
- 428 • Planned sensitivity analyses to evaluate sensitivity to violations in missing data
429 assumptions.
- 430
- 431 • Presentation of mean pain scores over the entire treatment period. These pain curves
432 should demonstrate continuous benefit or similarity of the local anesthetic drug product
433 relative to the comparator during a clinically meaningful trial period.
- 434

435 — In general, comparison of the changes in the mean pain scores of treatment groups at
436 a single time point is not an appropriate analysis of efficacy because such comparison
437 may be difficult to interpret from a clinical perspective. Therefore, the sponsor
438 should evaluate a range of time points, specifically focusing on later time points, that
439 can demonstrate an extended efficacy profile (e.g., 48 to 72 hours). The sponsor can
440 evaluate additional later time points, as appropriate.

441

442 — The AUC approach is a weighted average across the specified time frame. Weights
443 are determined by the length of time between each observed pain score. Benefits
444 include the ability to vary the timing of planned observations (e.g., short intervals
445 early in treatment to capture time to the onset of action) and to account for use of
446 rescue medication by recording unscheduled pain scores before administration of
447 rescue medication.

448

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

449 — Presentation of pain scores over time can:

- 450
- 451 ▪ Include the point estimate and the 95 percent confidence interval of the mean pain
 - 452 scores at each postsurgical time point when the pain curve over the entire
 - 453 postsurgical treatment period is presented.
 - 454
 - 455 ▪ Present pain score over the entire postsurgical time period by trial subject for the
 - 456 intent-to-treat subjects in one pain score graph.
 - 457

458 At a particular time point, some trial subjects may fare well while others fare poorly in the same
459 treatment group, and yet, the mean group response may be favorable. One approach is to
460 compare the number of subjects reaching prespecified criteria for success, i.e., a responder
461 analysis. It is important that a responder analysis incorporate a criterion of improvement in the
462 primary endpoints along with criteria for use of rescue medication and other outcome measures.
463 FDA encourages sponsors to explore a variety of outcome measures and responder definitions
464 during phase 2 trials to provide a rationale for use of a responder analysis as the primary analysis
465 in phase 3 trials.

466
467 Sponsors should contact the Division for recommendations on the adequacy of the trial endpoints
468 and additional analyses for specific local anesthetic drug product development programs.

469
470 If a sponsor decides to pursue both surgical anesthesia and postoperative analgesia indications,
471 the sponsor should discuss such plans with the Division early in the drug product development
472 process.

473 474 **E. Clinical Evaluation — Safety**

475
476 The sponsor should address the following safety aspects, as appropriate, for the proposed local
477 anesthetic drug product with a prolonged duration of effect:

- 478
- 479 • All established safety risks associated with the immediate-release local anesthetic drug
480 product that is being developed into a modified-release formulation and the potential
481 general safety implications of extending its duration of action.
 - 482
 - 483 • The safety of any novel inactive ingredients in the drug product formulation or novel
484 device or device constituent parts.
 - 485
 - 486 • Risks of local exposure at the site of administration (e.g., infection, wound dehiscence,
487 nerve toxicity).
 - 488
 - 489 • Risks of systemic exposure (i.e., local anesthetic systemic toxicity assessments).
 - 490
 - 491 • Risks of prolonged or permanent neurologic deficits, or any outcomes of
492 unresolved/ongoing sensory or motor deficits (e.g., falls, inability to undergo physical
493 rehabilitation). Full recovery of both sensory and/or motor function must be
494 demonstrated.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

495

496 • Safety of repeated dosing, including time frame and dose adjustments, if necessary.

497

498 • Safety and compatibility of concomitant drugs (e.g., coadministration with immediate-
499 release local anesthetic drug products, anesthetic adjuncts such as epinephrine).

500

501

IV. CONSIDERATIONS FOR LABELING CLAIMS

503

A. Superiority Claims

505

506 For a claim of superiority in the prescribing information, the sponsor needs to demonstrate that
507 the proposed local anesthetic drug product is superior to an approved drug product from both
508 statistical and clinical perspectives using clinically relevant efficacy or safety endpoints in
509 replicated adequate and well-controlled trials making direct comparison to the approved drug
510 product for each proposed indication.¹⁴ In addition, to claim superiority, the sponsor must
511 establish a positive benefit-risk profile of the proposed product compared to the approved drug
512 product.

513

B. Novel Endpoints

515

516 There is great public health interest in assessing additional, clinically meaningful endpoints such
517 as reduction in hospitalization or rehospitalization, emergency department visits, or death caused
518 by opioid abuse, as well as improvements in the ability to resume work, school, or other
519 productive activities. FDA recognizes that evaluating these outcomes could require larger trials
520 than those usually conducted for marketing approval. However, collecting data on clinically
521 meaningful outcomes would be highly valuable, and FDA encourages sponsors to consider
522 collecting such data even if not intended to support a regulatory decision. Furthermore, using
523 these outcomes as clinical trial endpoints could provide the basis for inclusion in the FDA-
524 approved labeling.

525

526 Sponsors should support novel endpoints with data demonstrating that the endpoints represent a
527 clinically meaningful benefit. Additional research may be necessary to develop or better define
528 instruments to measure these patient-reported outcomes¹⁵ or other novel endpoints in clinical
529 trials (e.g., improvement in rehabilitation or recovery). For endpoints related to the reduction in
530 opioid analgesic drug products use, *opioid-sparing* claims, and data needed to support such
531 claims, sponsors should refer to the draft guidance for industry *Development of Non-Opioid*
532 *Analgesics for Acute Pain* (February 2022).¹⁶

533

¹⁴ For additional information, see the guidance for industry *Clinical Studies Section of Labeling for Human Prescription Drug and Biological Products — Content and Format* (January 2006).

¹⁵ See the guidance for industry *Patient-Reported Outcome Measures: Use in Medical Product Development to Support Labeling Claims* (December 2009).

¹⁶ When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

534 If a sponsor plans to include novel endpoints in a local anesthetic drug product development
535 program, FDA strongly encourages the sponsor to discuss such plans with the Division early in
536 the drug product development process.