Adjusting for Covariates in Randomized Clinical Trials for Drugs and Biologics with Continuous Outcomes Guidance for Industry

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <u>https://www.regulations.gov</u>. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document, contact (CDER) Scott N. Goldie at 301-796-2055 or (CBER) Office of Communication, Outreach, and Development at 800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

> April 2019 Biostatistics

Adjusting for Covariates in Randomized Clinical Trials for Drugs and Biologics with Continuous Outcomes Guidance for Industry

Additional copies are available from: Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002 Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353 Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm

and/or Office of Communication, Outreach and Development Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 71, Room 3128 Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002 Phone: 800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010 Email: ocod@fda.hhs.gov

https://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

> April 2019 Biostatistics

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	1
III.	RECOMMENDATIONS	2

Draft — Not for Implementation

Adjusting for Covariates in Randomized Clinical Trials for Drugs and Biologics with Continuous Outcomes Guidance for Industry¹

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

9 I. INTRODUCTION

10 This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug

11 Administration on adjusting for covariates in randomized clinical trials in drug² development

12 programs. This guidance provides recommendations for adjusting for covariates in randomized

13 clinical trials with continuous endpoints that are appropriate for analysis with normal-theory

14 methods, such as the two-sample t-test. Nonparametric methods, categorical outcomes, and

survival methods, among others, are outside the scope of this document, although some of the

16 same principles might apply to those methods as well.

17 In general, FDA's guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities.

18 Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only

19 as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of

20 the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but

21 not required.

4

5

6

7

8

22 II. BACKGROUND

23 The target population for a new drug usually includes patients with diverse prognostic factors³,

and the population studied in clinical trials should reflect this diversity. However, potential

25 baseline differences between treatment groups in prognostic factors increase the variability of

26 estimates of treatment effects and reduce the power of significance tests. Incorporating

27 prognostic factors in the primary statistical analysis of clinical trial data can result in a more

28 efficient use of data to demonstrate and quantify the effects of treatment.

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Office of Biostatistics in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research in cooperation with the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research at the Food and Drug Administration.

 $^{^{2}}$ The term *drug* used in this guidance refers to both human drugs and biological products.

³ As used in this guidance, the term prognostic factor refers to a variable, typically measured before randomization, that is likely to be correlated with the outcome of primary interest.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 29 The ICH guidance for industry *E9 Statistical Principles for Clinical Trials*⁴ addresses these
- 30 issues briefly. The ICH E9 guidance encourages the identification of "covariates and factors
- 31 expected to have an important influence on the primary variable(s)." The ICH E9 guidance
- 32 strongly advises prespecification of "the principal features of the eventual statistical analysis,"
- 33 including "how to account for [covariates] in the analysis to improve precision and to
- 34 compensate for any lack of balance between treatment groups." The ICH E9 guidance also
- 35 cautions against adjusting for "covariates measured after randomization because they could be
- 36 affected by the treatments."
- 37 This guidance provides more detailed recommendations for the use of covariates in the primary
- analysis in randomized clinical trials. In the case of continuous covariates, the method of
- 39 adjusting for covariates is usually referred to as *analysis of covariance* (ANCOVA). The
- 40 guidance, however, also applies to categorical covariates or a mixture of categorical and
- 41 continuous covariates. For simplicity, this guidance also uses the term ANCOVA even when
- 42 there are categorical covariates, although *analysis of variance* or *linear model* would be more
- 43 common usage in that case.

44 III. RECOMMENDATIONS

- Sponsors can use ANCOVA to adjust for differences between treatment groups in relevant
 baseline variables to improve the power of significance tests and the precision of estimates of
 treatment effect.
- 48 Sponsors should not use ANCOVA to adjust for variables that might be affected by treatment.

50 The closer the model approximates the true relationship between the outcome and the 51 covariates, the greater the improvement in the power of significance tests and the precision of 52 estimates compared to not using ANCOVA. However, even when the ANCOVA model does 53 not closely approximate the true relationship between the outcome and the covariates, the 54 probability of type I error is still maintained at the nominal level, and therefore 55 misspecification of the relationship between the outcome and the covariates will not 56 invalidate the results. For example, if there is truly a quadratic relationship between the 57 outcome and a covariate, a quadratic model would provide the best fit and thus the greatest possible improvement in power; but if the quadratic relationship had not been foreseen and a 58 59 straight-line model had been prespecified, the straight-line model would still be acceptable.

⁴ We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA Drugs guidance web page at https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatorvInformation/Guidances/default.htm.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- The sponsor should prospectively specify the covariates and the mathematical form of the
 model in the protocol or statistical analysis plan. When these specifications are
 unambiguous, FDA will not generally be concerned about the sensitivity of results to the
 choice of covariates because differences between adjusted estimators and unadjusted
 estimators of the same parameter, or between adjusted estimators using different models, are
 random.
- Interaction of the treatment with covariates is important, but the presence of an interaction 66 • does not invalidate ANCOVA as a method of estimating and testing for an overall treatment 67 effect, even if the interaction is not accounted for in the model. The prespecified primary 68 69 model can include interaction terms if appropriate. However, interaction means that the 70 treatment effect is different for different subjects, and this fact could be relevant to 71 prescribers, patients, and other stakeholders. Therefore, even though a primary analysis 72 showing an overall treatment effect remains valid, differential effects in subgroups can also 73 be important.
- Many clinical trials use a change from baseline as the primary outcome measure. Even when
 the outcome is measured as a change from baseline, the baseline value can still be used
 advantageously as a covariate.