# Oncology Pharmaceuticals: Reproductive Toxicity Testing and Labeling Recommendations Guidance for Industry

## DRAFT GUIDANCE

## This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to https://www.regulations.gov. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document, contact John Leighton or Haleh Saber at 301-796-0750.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

> September 2017 Pharmacology/Toxicology

# Oncology Pharmaceuticals: Reproductive Toxicity Testing and Labeling Recommendations Guidance for Industry

Additional copies are available from:

Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002 Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353; Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm

> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

> > September 2017 Pharmacology/Toxicology

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| I.    | INTRODUCTION   | 1  |
|-------|--|----|
| II.   | BACKGROUND   | 3  |
| III.  | EVALUATION OF EMBRYO-FETAL DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY              | 3  |
| А.    | General Recommendations  | .3 |
| В.    | Cytotoxic Pharmaceuticals                                      | .3 |
| C.    | Biological Pharmaceuticals                                     | .3 |
| D.    | Conjugated Pharmaceuticals                                     | .4 |
| Е.    | Combination of Pharmaceuticals                                 | .4 |
| F.    | Liposomal Products   | .5 |
| IV.   | EVALUATION OF FERTILITY  | 5  |
| V.    | EVALUATION OF PRE- AND POSTNATAL DEVELOPMENTAL EFFECTS         | 6  |
| VI.   | EVALUATION OF RISK FOR SPECIFIC POPULATIONS                    | 6  |
| А.    | Pharmaceuticals Indicated for Use in Males Only                | .6 |
| В.    | Pharmaceuticals Indicated for Use in Postmenopausal Women Only | .6 |
| C.    | Pharmaceuticals Indicated for Pediatric Populations            | .7 |
| VII.  | PHARMACOKINETIC DATA   | 7  |
| А.    | Disproportionate Metabolites                                   | .7 |
| В.    | Exposure Comparison  | .7 |
| VIII. | RECOMMENDATIONS ON CONTRACEPTION                               | 7  |
| А.    | Genotoxic Pharmaceuticals                                      | .8 |
|       | Male Subjects  |    |
|       | Female Subjects  |    |
| В.    | Nongenotoxic Pharmaceuticals                                   |    |
|       | Male Subjects  |    |
|       | Female Subjects  |    |
|       |  |    |

Draft — Not for Implementation

## Oncology Pharmaceuticals: Reproductive Toxicity Testing and Labeling Recommendations Guidance for Industry<sup>1</sup>

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this guidance is to assist sponsors in reproductive toxicity assessments (mainly of
embryo-fetal development (EFD)) for anticancer pharmaceuticals and to provide
recommendations for product labeling on duration of contraception following cessation of
therapy to minimize potential risk to a developing embryo/fetus. The following concepts are
discussed in this guidance:

- Evaluation of EFD toxicity for various types of pharmaceuticals and when such studies are not needed
- Evaluation of EFD toxicity for pharmaceuticals intended for specific populations
- Use of nonclinical information such as results of genotoxicity and general toxicity studies in assessing the need for a dedicated EFD study
- Labeling recommendations concerning EFD studies and the potential risk for adverse developmental outcomes in humans (*Pregnancy* subsection of labeling) and recommendations for contraception in male and female patients to minimize risk to a developing embryo/fetus (*Females and Males of Reproductive Potential* subsection of labeling)<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This guidance has been prepared by the Division of Hematology Oncology Toxicology in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research at the Food and Drug Administration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See 21 CFR 201.57(c)(9)(i) and (iii) and the draft guidance for industry *Pregnancy, Lactation, and Reproductive Potential: Labeling for Human Prescription Drug and Biological Products — Content and Format.* When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA Drugs guidance web page at

https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm.

## Draft — Not for Implementation

37 For the purpose of this guidance, *pharmaceuticals* refers to small molecules, therapeutic 38 proteins, antibodies, and related products such as conjugated products. This guidance does not address risks from biosimilar products, interchangeable products, radio-pharmaceuticals, cellular 39 40 and gene therapy products, or cancer vaccines. The term *teratogenicity* refers to events leading 41 to a disruption of normal embryo-fetal development that may lead to malformation or death. 42 However, for certain classes of products (e.g., immune-oncology) embryo-fetal lethality may be 43 due to causes other than a product directly acting on the fetus, and that result in immune rejection 44 with no overt teratogenicity. Thus, for the purpose of this guidance, the term embryo-fetal 45 *lethality* indicates mortality in the embryo/fetus for any cause irrespective of teratogenicity. 46 47 This guidance does not address margins of safety by exposure or dose. For many anticancer 48 pharmaceuticals — especially the small molecules to which this guidance pertains— a margin is 49 not identified (i.e., embryo-fetal toxicities are observed in animals at exposures that are 50 comparable to or below the recommended human dose (National Toxicology Program 2013)). 51 Risk to a developing embryo/fetus is the primary concern in patients and the reason for needing 52 EFD studies so that appropriate contraceptive recommendations for patients may be included in 53 labeling. However, this guidance does not address the potential risks to a developing 54 embryo/fetus during clinical trials because adequate contraception is necessary during relevant 55 drug development. Although fertility and pre- and postnatal developmental (PPND) studies 56 typically are not needed to support marketing applications for advanced cancer indications, some 57 aspects of these studies are included in this guidance for nonadvanced indications. 58 59 This guidance complements the ICH guidance for industry S9 Nonclinical Evaluation for Anticancer Pharmaceuticals, when applicable.<sup>3</sup> Specific study designs for evaluating 60 reproductive toxicity are addressed in the ICH guidances for industry S5 Detection of Toxicity to 61 62 Reproduction for Medicinal Products and Toxicity to Male Fertility and S6(R1) Preclinical 63 Safety Evaluation of Biotechnology-Derived Pharmaceuticals. This guidance provides examples 64 of alternative assessments not previously described in ICH S9 and only briefly discussed in ICH 65 S6(R1) (see section III.C., Biological Pharmaceuticals). This guidance also provides additional 66 nonclinical recommendations related to the reproductive potential of pharmaceuticals and for 67 contraception, which are not currently covered under ICH S9. 68

69 In general, FDA's guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities.

70 Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only

- as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of
- the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but
- 73 not required.
- 74
- 75

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA Drugs guidance web page at

https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm.

Draft — Not for Implementation

## 76 II. BACKGROUND

77

78 ICH S9 describes the recommended type and timing of nonclinical studies needed for an

79 investigational new drug application and for subsequent development of anticancer

80 pharmaceuticals. For pharmaceuticals within the scope of ICH S9, the guidance recommends

that results of EFD studies be submitted with the new drug application or biologics license

- 82 application.
- 83

In some cases EFD toxicity studies may not be needed. For example, if the pharmaceutical is genotoxic and targets rapidly dividing cells as demonstrated in general toxicology studies (ICH S9), then the product is presumed to be causing either teratogenicity or embryo-fetal lethality. In other cases, in lieu of an EFD study, alternative assessment of risk can be provided. Since the publication of ICH S9, FDA has gained experience in evaluating alternative approaches in

89 reproductive toxicity assessments for anticancer pharmaceuticals conducted in lieu of animal

90 reproductive toxicity studies.

91

92 Recommendations for contraception also are not currently covered in ICH or FDA guidances for 93 anticancer pharmaceuticals. Because of the toxic nature of pharmaceuticals used in oncology,

94 there is a need for a consistent approach in using contraception to minimize exposure of a

95 developing conceptus to these products.

96 97

## 98 III. EVALUATION OF EMBRYO-FETAL DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY

99 100

101

## A. General Recommendations

In general, reproductive toxicity testing should follow the recommendations outlined in ICH S9, in which risk to the developing embryo/fetus is the primary concern. EFD studies should be conducted in two species, usually the rat (or mouse) and rabbit, unless one species is positive for teratogenicity or embryo-fetal lethality, in which case the study in the second species may not be warranted. In some cases, where non-good laboratory practices (GLP) pilot studies have unequivocally demonstrated embryo-fetal lethality or teratogenicity, the definitive GLP study may not be warranted.

- 109
- 110 111

## **B.** Cytotoxic Pharmaceuticals

Pharmaceuticals that are genotoxic and target rapidly dividing cells as determined in general toxicology studies are presumed to be teratogenic and/or lethal to an embryo/fetus. In this case, EFD studies are not considered essential. For the purpose of determining the need for an EFD study, positive outcomes in at least two genotoxicity assays are needed to conclude the product is genotoxic.

- 117
- 118 119

## C. Biological Pharmaceuticals

According to ICH S9, an EFD study in one pharmacologically relevant species should be conducted. When the pharmacologically relevant species is the nonhuman primate, an enhanced

Draft — Not for Implementation

122 PPND as described in ICH S6(R1) could be considered; see ICH S6(R1) for study designs. 123 When there is no pharmacologically relevant species to test the clinical candidate, use of a wellcharacterized and biologically relevant surrogate pharmaceutical, if available, could be 124 125 considered. However, producing a surrogate pharmaceutical for the sole purpose of conducting 126 an EFD study usually is not warranted. 127 128 When an EFD study is not warranted, an alternative assessment should be completed. The 129 assessment should include the following information or data: 130 131 • Literature assessment. The assessment should: 132 133 - Describe expression of target in the embryo/fetus 134 135 - Describe the role of the molecular target in embryo-fetal development 136 137 - If available, include data from knock-out or transgenic animals or animals with a 138 mutated gene, as appropriate 139 140 - Describe effects, such as loss of pregnancy or phenotypic traits in offspring based on 141 the previous bulleted items 142 143 • In vitro studies, such as the ability of the pharmaceutical to cross the placenta (if not known) and cross reactivity to embryo-fetal tissues. The assessment should describe 144 145 potential developmental effects that might arise because of target binding. 146 147 Although this section is for biological products, the concepts could be applied to small molecule 148 pharmaceuticals as appropriate. 149 150 D. **Conjugated Pharmaceuticals** 151 152 For conjugated products containing both a biological and a small molecule moiety, the design of 153 the EFD study depends on several factors, such as binding of the biological moiety to the target, 154 the potential for release of the small molecule, the nature of the small molecule (e.g., mechanism 155 of action and cytotoxicity), and knowledge of the source of toxicities (biological versus the small 156 molecule moiety). For instance, for antibody-drug conjugates (ADC), when the small molecule 157 is a cytotoxic agent (genotoxic and targeting rapidly dividing cells), no EFD study is warranted 158 (see section III.B., Cytotoxic Pharmaceuticals). When an EFD study with an ADC is deemed 159 necessary, the study could be conducted with the small molecule if toxicities of the conjugate are 160 related to the small molecule and the antibody does not bind to the target in the animal species. 161 When the biological moiety binds to the target in the animal species, the reproductive toxicology 162 study with the conjugated product generally is recommended. 163 **Combination of Pharmaceuticals** 164 Е.

- 166 When two pharmaceuticals are only used in combination, as defined in 21 CFR 3.2(e), where
- both pharmaceuticals are required to achieve the intended use, indication, or effect, the 167

## Draft — Not for Implementation

168 combination should be used in EFD studies. If the EFD data are already available with one of
 169 the pharmaceuticals and shows teratogenicity and/or embryo-fetal lethality, an additional EFD
 170 study of the combination may not be warranted.

171 172

173

## F. Liposomal Products

174 In general, liposomal formulations are produced to change the pharmacokinetic parameters of the 175 active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) (e.g., to increase exposure). If an EFD study was 176 previously evaluated with the unencapsulated material and showed teratogenicity and/or embryo-177 fetal lethality, separate EFD studies with the liposomal product may not be warranted. However, 178 EFD studies should be conducted with the liposomal drug if the API has not previously been 179 shown to cause teratogenicity or embryo-fetal lethality because increased exposure and novel 180 components used in a liposome could affect embryo-fetal development. Depending on the nature 181 of the pharmaceutical being encapsulated, sponsors should discuss concepts in section III. For 182 example, when the liposome contains a cytotoxic pharmaceutical, sponsors should consider 183 section III.B., Cytotoxic Pharmaceuticals.

184 185

187

## 186 IV. EVALUATION OF FERTILITY

Stand-alone fertility and early embryonic studies usually are not warranted for pharmaceuticals to treat patients with advanced cancer under the scope of ICH S9. Effects on male and female reproductive organs assessed in general toxicity studies, and other relevant endpoints (e.g., changes in sex hormones), should be considered for an assessment of potential drug effects on

fertility. Any fertility risk determined from these observations should be described in the

193 *Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility* subsection of labeling and summarized in

194 the *Females and Males of Reproductive Potential* subsection of labeling.

195

When the indication is not for an advanced cancer, stand-alone fertility studies usually are 196 197 warranted. A stand-alone fertility study is not warranted if based on the totality of data the study 198 will not provide useful information. For example, if a pharmaceutical is intended to treat early 199 stage prostate cancer and it depletes male hormones to a castration level, fertility studies are not 200 warranted in male animals (because the pharmaceutical is assumed to cause infertility) or female 201 animals (because it is a male-specific pharmaceutical). In addition, if findings in general 202 toxicology studies indicate adverse fertility effects (e.g., reduced sperm count or follicular loss), 203 a separate fertility study usually is not warranted.

204

Evaluation of testicular toxicity in clinical trials, as described in the draft guidance for industry
 *Testicular Toxicity: Evaluation During Drug Development*,<sup>4</sup> is not warranted. Because of
 toxicities of anticancer pharmaceuticals, the clinical study should not be conducted in healthy
 subjects and the study design recommended typically is not feasible in patients with cancer.

- 209
- 210

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic.

Draft — Not for Implementation

|            |   | Draji — Not for Implementation  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 211<br>212 | V.  | EVALUATION OF PRE- AND POSTNATAL DEVELOPMENTAL EFFECTS  |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | A DDND state was wet he see mented for whom we that is the 1-1 to the test of the       |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 213        |   | ND study may not be warranted for pharmaceuticals intended to treat advanced cancer                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 214        |   | the scope of ICH S9. However, when a study is deemed necessary (e.g., based on the                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 215        |   | ation), consideration should be made whether such study will provide information for                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 216        | patier  | nts or prescribers. See the following examples:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 217        |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 218        | •   | A PPND may not be warranted for a teratogenic pharmaceutical. The pharmaceutical is                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 219        |   | expected to adversely affect the survival and general health, including growth and                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 220        |   | development, of the offspring and the risk should be communicated in the <i>Pregnancy</i>           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 221        |   | subsection of labeling.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 222        |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 223        | •   | For a pharmaceutical causing embryo-fetal death, a consideration should be made                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 223        | •   | whether a sufficient number of offspring may be available to assess developmental                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 224        |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|            |   | effects. When a pharmaceutical causes embryo-fetal lethality, a modified PPND study                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 226        |   | may be considered to increase the number of live births, such as dosing in short windows.           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 227        |   | Design modifications should not change the purpose of a PPND study (e.g., starting dose             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 228        | administration after birth will only provide information on postnatal growth and is not |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 229        |   | warranted).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 230        |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 231        |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 232        | VI.   | EVALUATION OF RISK FOR SPECIFIC POPULATIONS   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 233        |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 234        |   | A. Pharmaceuticals Indicated for Use in Males Only  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 235        |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 236        |   | use the risk to be studied is to the developing embryo/fetus, EFD studies are not warranted         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 237        | for ph  | narmaceuticals indicated for use in males only (e.g., for prostate cancer). As discussed in         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 238        | sectio  | on III.A., General Recommendations, assessing risk to a developing conceptus resulting              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 239        | from  | seminal transfer is not warranted; instead, a period of contraception is recommended (see           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 240        |   | on VIII., Recommendations on Contraception). The information on contraception should be             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 241        |   | nunicated in the Females and Males of Reproductive Potential subsection of labeling. A              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 242        |   | D study is not warranted for this patient population. A male fertility study in animals should      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 243        |   | be considered when the indication is not for an advanced cancer (e.g., early prostate cancer) (also |  |  |  |  |  |
| 244        |   | ection IV., Evaluation of Fertility).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 245        | 500 50  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 246        |   | <b>B.</b> Pharmaceuticals Indicated for Use in Postmenopausal Women Only                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 240        |   | <b>D.</b> I har maccuticals indicated for Ose in Fostmenopausar women Omy                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 247        | Popro   | oductive toxicity studies are not warranted for anticancer pharmaceuticals indicated in             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 248<br>249 | -   | 2   |  |  |  |  |  |
|            |   | postmenopausal women only. In general, menopause is defined as the permanent cessation of           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250        |   | menses of greater than 12 months with no alternative medical cause, or may be defined based on      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 251        |   | ional factors, such as serum follicle-stimulating hormone levels and surgical bilateral             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 252        | -   | prectomy. However, this definition and its applicability to the intended clinical trial             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 253        | subje   | cts should be discussed with the appropriate FDA clinical review division.                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 254        |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|            |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Draft — Not for Implementation

C.

255 **Pharmaceuticals Indicated for Pediatric Populations** 256 257 For pharmaceuticals in advanced cancer under the scope of ICH S9, an EFD study or assessment 258 (as appropriate) should be provided when the indication includes patients who have reached 259 puberty; this generally includes females and males of reproductive potential, including adolescents (12 to 18 years of age). If the treatment is intended to be curative or substantially 260 261 increases survival, the entire battery of reproductive toxicology studies (i.e., fertility, EFD, and 262 PPND) should be considered, unless the treatment falls under the categories described above 263 where the studies may not be warranted (see sections III. through VI.A.). 264 265 266 VII. PHARMACOKINETIC DATA 267 268 Α. **Disproportionate Metabolites** 269 270 For metabolites that are human-specific or present at disproportionally higher levels in humans when compared to animal species used in toxicology studies, additional EFD studies of the 271 272 metabolite may be warranted. Consideration should be given to whether there is sufficient 273 exposure in animal species tested in EFD studies and the results obtained with the API. An EFD 274 study of a metabolite is not warranted when studies with the API result in embryo-fetal lethality or teratogenicity. 275 276 277 B. **Exposure Comparison** 278 279 Pharmacokinetic data should be collected in EFD studies and the animal-to-human area-under-280 the-curve (AUC) ratios should be included in the *Pregnancy* subsection of labeling. In the event 281 that pharmacokinetic parameters are not available from EFD studies, animal AUCs from a 282 general toxicology study using the same species, dose, route of administration, and dosing 283 regimen can be used when applicable (e.g., based on differences in the formulation). 284 285 286 VIII. RECOMMENDATIONS ON CONTRACEPTION 287 288 After a determination is made that a risk of anticancer pharmaceutical-mediated developmental 289 toxicity exists, the following labeling recommendations on the duration of contraception 290 following cessation of therapy should be provided to patients. The *Females and Males of* 291 Reproductive Potential subsection of labeling should include the duration of contraception for 292 both males and females receiving the pharmaceutical recommended to minimize EFD risk and 293 the risk in female sexual partners of men receiving the anticancer pharmaceutical. 294 295 The scientific underpinning for the following recommendations is based on the knowledge of 296 gametogenesis and sex-specific differences in this process and is provided in sections VIII.A., 297 Genotoxic Pharmaceuticals, and VIII.B., Nongenotoxic Pharmaceuticals. The recommendations 298 are based on prevention of developmental toxicity, such as malformations and lethality, not 299 restoration of fertility. 300

Draft — Not for Implementation

301 Although the following recommendations are intended to reduce exposure to the parent 302 pharmaceutical, they can also reduce developmental toxicity from exposure to metabolites as 303 appropriate (e.g., for a genotoxic metabolite).

304 305

A. **Genotoxic Pharmaceuticals** 

306 307

1. Male Subjects

308 309 Genotoxic pharmaceuticals may cause deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) damage in the sperm, 310 potentially resulting in adverse effects in the conceptus of a female sexual partner. Although 311 there is no report of increased malformation in offspring of men treated with anticancer 312 pharmaceuticals (Trasler and Doersken1999; Mulvihill 2012), such effects have been seen in 313 animals when males treated with genotoxic pharmaceuticals were mated with untreated females. 314 Use of contraception for a period of 3 months after cessation of therapy will minimize the risk of 315 adverse embryo-fetal effects for genotoxic pharmaceuticals with short half-lives (less than 1 316 week). In humans, the duration of spermatogenesis is approximately 70 days (Trasler and 317 Doersken 1999; Amann 2008). Three months takes into account the half-life of a pharmaceutical 318 and the residence time for unejaculated sperm. For pharmaceuticals with long half-lives (greater 319 than or equal to 1 week), an additional contraception period of five half-lives is recommended. See Table 1.

- 320
- 321 322

## Female Subjects

2.

323 324 Genotoxic pharmaceuticals may directly affect the embryo/fetus or may cause DNA damage in 325 the oocytes. The period of folliculogenesis is described as 6 to 12 months (Meirow, Epstein, et 326 al. 2001; Meirow and Schiff 2005). Exposure to a genotoxic pharmaceutical in the initial step 327 (primordial follicles) results mainly in follicular loss (Kalich-Philosoph, Roness, et al. 2013). 328 Any remaining damaged follicle may be further eliminated through the natural process of atresia 329 (greater than 90 percent elimination) (Gougeon 1986). The growth and maturation phase of 330 folliculogenesis (4 to 6 months) is most susceptible to persisting DNA damage and may 331 potentially result in embryo-fetal malformations. Hence 6-month contraception is recommended 332 for genotoxic pharmaceuticals after cessation of therapy. For pharmaceuticals with long half-333 lives (greater than or equal to 1 week) an additional five half-lives is recommended. See 334 Table 1.

### Draft — Not for Implementation

## Table 1. Genotoxic (Including Aneugenic) Pharmaceuticals: Recommendation on Use of Contraception After Cessation of Therapy

| Male   | Female  |
|--|---|
| 3 months <sup>a</sup>  | 6 months  |
| 3 months + 5 x $T_{1/2}^{b}$ for pharmaceuticals with long $T_{1/2}^{c}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 6 \text{ months} + 5 \text{ x } T_{1/2} \\ \text{for pharmaceuticals with long } T_{1/2}{}^{c} \end{array}$ |

<sup>a</sup> Duration of spermatogenesis and residence time for unejaculated sperm.

339 <sup>b</sup>  $T_{1/2}$  = half-life

340 <sup>c</sup> Long half-life refers to  $T_{1/2}$  greater than or equal to 1 week.

341

## 342

343 344

345

## **B.** Nongenotoxic Pharmaceuticals

1. Male Subjects

346 There is a hypothetical risk of teratogenicity because of the presence of a pharmaceutical in the 347 seminal fluid. Although reports indicate that there is no increased malformation rate in the 348 offspring of males exposed to anticancer pharmaceuticals (Trasler and Doersken 1999; Mulvihill 349 2012), no report exclusively examines birth within the first year after cessation of therapy. 350 Scientific articles published in 2014 indicate that pharmaceuticals administered intravaginally. 351 including thalidomide, at clinically relevant concentrations did not cause malformation in the 352 conceptus (Hui, Hoffman, et al. 2014; Breslin, Hilbish, et al. 2014; Moffat, Davies, et al. 2014). 353 However, an earlier study showed adverse embryo-fetal effects when male rabbits were 354 administered thalidomide (Lutwak-Mann 1964). Although thalidomide does not accumulate in the semen, many small molecule pharmaceuticals do (Klemmt and Scialli 2005) and 355 356 investigations on embryo-fetal toxicity caused by seminal transfer have been limited. Based on 357 data gaps, for small molecule teratogenic pharmaceuticals, a contraception period of five half-358 lives with an additional 3 weeks to account for the residence time of unejaculated sperm is 359 recommended. For teratogenic biological products, however, no duration of contraception is 360 recommended because these products do not accumulate in the semen, have limited absorption. 361 and may undergo proteolytic degradation caused by the presence of vaginal and cervical 362 enzymes (Scialli, Bailey, et al. 2015). See Table 2.

363 364

365

2. Female Subjects

Contraception post-treatment for five half-lives allows elimination of approximately 97 percent
 of a developmentally toxic pharmaceutical from the circulation before fertilization. For
 pharmaceuticals with short half-lives, a minimum of 30 days (one menstrual cycle) is

369 recommended after cessation of therapy. See Table 2.

Draft — Not for Implementation

## 371 Table 2. Nongenotoxic Pharmaceuticals: Recommendation on Use of Contraception After

## 372 Cessation of Therapy

| Male   |  | Female  |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| Teratogenicity or<br>Embryo-Fetal<br>Lethality                                   | No Teratogenicity<br>and<br>No Embryo-Fetal<br>Lethality | Teratogenicity or<br>Embryo-Fetal<br>Lethality                                      | No Teratogenicity<br>and<br>No Embryo-Fetal<br>Lethality |
| Small molecules:<br>$5 \ge T_{1/2}^{a} + 3$ weeks<br>Biologics:<br>Not necessary | Not necessary  | 5 x T <sub>1/2</sub><br>Or one menstrual<br>cycle (30 days),<br>whichever is longer | Not necessary  |

Draft — Not for Implementation

| 374        | REFERENCES  |
|------------|---|
| 375        |   |
| 376<br>377 | Amann, RP, 2008, The Cycle of the Seminiferous Epithelium in Humans: A Need to Revisit?, J Androl, 29:469–487.                  |
| 378        | Breslin, WJ, KG Hilbish, et al., 2014, Assessment of Fetal Exposure Risk Following Seminal                                      |
| 379        | Excretion of a Therapeutic IgG4 (T-IgG4) Monoclonal Antibody Using a Rabbit Model,  |
| 380        | Reprod Toxicol, 48:124–131.   |
| 381        | Gougeon, A, 1986, Dynamics of Follicular Growth in the Human: A Model From Preliminary  |
| 382        | Results, Hum Reprod, 1:81–87.   |
| 383        | Hui, JY, M Hoffmann, and G Kumar, 2014, Embryo-Fetal Exposure and Developmetal Outcome  |
| 384        | of Thalidomide Following Oral and Intravaginal Administration to Pregnant Rabbits,  |
| 385        | Reprod Toxicol, 48:115–123.   |
| 386        | Kalich-Philosoph, L, H Roness, et al., 2013, Cyclophosphamide Triggers Follicle Activation                                      |
| 387        | and "Burnout"; AS101 Prevents Follicle Loss and Preserves Fertility, Sci Transl Med,  |
| 388        | 5:1–11.   |
| 389        | Klemmt, L and AR Scialli, 2005, The Transport of Chemicals in Semen, Birth Defects Res Dev                                      |
| 390        | Reprod Toxicol, 74:119–131.   |
| 391        | Lutwak-Mann, C, 1964, Observations on Progeny of Thalidomide-Treated Male Rabbits, Br   |
| 392        | Med J, 1:1090–1091.   |
| 393        | Meirow, D, M Epstein, et al., 2001, Administration of Cyclophosphamide at Different Stages of                                   |
| 394        | Follicular Maturation in Mice: Effects on Reproductive Performance and Fetal  |
| 395        | Malformations, Hum Reprod, 16:632–637.  |
| 396        | Meirow, D and D Nugent, 2001, The Effects of Radiotherapy and Chemotherapy on Female  |
| 397        | Reproduction, Hum Reprod Update, 7:535–543.   |
| 398        | Meirow, D and E Schiff, 2005, Appraisal of Chemotherapy Effects on Reproductive Outcome   |
| 399        | According to Animal Studies and Clinical Data, J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr, 34:21–25.   |
| 400        | Moffat, GJ, R Davies, et al., 2014, Investigation of Maternal and Fetal Exposure to an IgG2                                     |
| 401        | Monoclonal Antibody Following Biweekly Intravaginal Administration to Cynomolgus  |
| 402        | Monkeys Throughout Pregnancy, Reprod Toxicol, 48:132–137.   |
| 403        | Mulvihill, JJ, 2012, Preconception Exposure to Mutagens: Medical and Other Exposures to   |
| 404        | Radiation and Chemicals, J Community Genet, 3:205–211.  |
| 405        | National Toxicology Program, 2013, NTP Monograph: Developmental Effects and Pregnancy   |
| 406        | Outcomes Associated With Cancer Chemotherapy Use During Pregnancy, NTP Monogr,  |
| 407        | 2:1-214.  |
| 408        | Scialli, AR, G Bailey, et al., 2015, Potential Seminal Transport of Pharmaceuticals to the                                      |
| 409        | Conceptus, Reprod Toxicol, 58:213–221.<br>Trader, IM and T. Dearsken, 1000, Teratagen Undeter, Batarnal Exposures, Reproductive |
| 410        | Trasler, JM and T Doersken, 1999, Teratogen Update: Paternal Exposures — Reproductive   |
| 411        | Risks, Teratology, 60:161–172.  |